

Crisis/Berry

With history of the next
part dangers to hearing.

Confidence:

Find out

- Nuclear Crisis?

- How close were we - when - to war?

- to sudden "retaliation"?

- to alerts?

- Accidents, + near-accidents?

- Invasion plan: Cuba, 1961-62 (after?)

~~Historical~~ history of the next

Crisis/Heavy

part danger to living

Cont'd:

Find out

- Nuclear Crisis?

- How close were we - who - to meas?

- to sudden "retaliation"?

- $\frac{1}{6}$ shuts?

- Accidents, + non-accidents?

- Invasion plan Cuba, 1961-62 (after?)

Stevenson - Dec 10/31/62

Sw. Amb told C. that

Order from K to dismantle second antenna 1 and 3 to
start dismantling 2 at 5 on Sunday will be all over
at latest by Friday, when we will have finished
bulldozing of sites. Even the pads will be gone, but
no Cuban observation of the dismantling is permitted.

As to aircraft, Russians assured them that as Cuban had
not been trained to fly them any equipment, down and
will go. All the A-4, but SAM + conventional, is owned by
Cubans. It was a Cuban plane that shot down our plane.

Castro is frustrated, intense, unpredictable.

Pidge: Please fly off the recon till Friday. After that you
will find they have bulldozed all of the sites.

11/1/62 Bell-Sec. Castro talked as though he had all the anti-
aircraft and he did boast that it was the Cubans who had

Analyze K's proposals on Turkey in light of bargaining theory: emphasis on symmetry of "right," based on "equal" threat capability. (e.g. 27 Oct).

If Castro persuaded SAM detachment commander to shoot U-2 — which needed work as Oct 27 after being shot down — this comes pretty close to catalytic action (or, another sort of "unauthorized" action).

Or could it have been accident? so SAMs activated?

No mention^{by S} of SAM activation 27 Oct.

Convergences

incidents? 1) Shootdown of U-2 Oct 27?

2) Detonation of SU by U-2, Oct 27?

3) SC cable setting transfer?

4) SU Cosmos debris?

visit down Major Anderson. Blyde County is not at all
pleased that this was ^{not} ~~first~~ not only ~~beautifulness~~ in his
part.

He feels that there is a state of very definite kind of
system down there at the moment; that they are probably
going to be looking for flights today and that they are going
to throw everything that they have. After Castro makes his speech
he thinks that if we could delay until tomorrow that the
senses of getting into any real trouble are considerably diminished;
particularly if we change the flight patterns.

(Met right went to have to write from, but it went off. 3/27)

Mr. said on a security council meeting that Castro would not be
able to hold the meeting off till after the 6th.

See: Wf the 6th!

Ball: Wf, anlections.

ପର୍ବତୀରେ ପର୍ବତୀରେ ପର୍ବତୀରେ ପର୍ବତୀରେ ପର୍ବତୀରେ ପର୍ବତୀରେ ପର୍ବତୀରେ

Ball telescons: Stevenson, Ball, on

Curious shootdown of U-2

McN — also low-level view

Nov 1

Andrew T.

Note: early instincts to let "crisis" pass, were

0
quickly discarded — (State: B & D, Ave: Cleveland
Dyadic: 200, Status: 1970)

even for the Empire attack. Taylor!

key charts in SC draw-backs

PFK $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1. \text{Ultimate} \\ 2. \text{Jurdy} \\ 3. \text{by note or slot time} \end{array} \right.$

+ Clean shutdown!

Analyze K's proposals on Italy in light of bargaining theory: relies on symmetry of "right," based on "equal" threat capability. (e.g. 27 Oct).

If Castro personnel SAM detachment commander to shoot U-2 — which reached USSR as Oct 27 with big standard — this comes pretty close to catalytic action (a, another sort of "unauthorized" action).

Or could it have been accident? to SAMs activated?

No notion ³⁵ of SAM activation 27 Oct.

Convergences

incidents? 1) Shootdown of U-2 Oct 27?

2) Detonation of SU by U-2, Oct 27?

3) SU cable-satellite transfer?

4) SU Cosmos debris?

ବୁଦ୍ଧିମତ୍ତା କାହାରେ କାହାରେ କାହାରେ କାହାରେ କାହାରେ କାହାରେ

Field history of the nest

Crossberry

first dangers to human

Causes:

Filat

— Nielske Crisey?

- How close were we - when - to mass?

-to sudden "retaliation"?

$-\frac{1}{3}$ sluts?

- Accidents + non-accidents?

- Invincible plan: Cuba, 1961-62 (after?)

1 Ross

Bob Munson ORR

Arch Bush OCT (e.g. on "insurgents" attacks on 26 Oct)

Mrs. Betty Burdick OS1

15 Jan 63 CIB

24 Mexico Castro

NSA ^{secure} Frank Alm B-5334

28 Oct 10 different Presidents set up on U-2 flight 27 Oct;
only 1 earlier, 19 Oct. This didn't happen later.
Also, multi-channel comm down, an defense, Russia, on 27 Oct.

14 April 64 On night of 26 Oct 62 an "attack" on an unidentified low
comp was in progress. The nationality of the attacking forces was
not national. This attack was possibly directed against the
Los Angeles SAIM site adjacent to the Bams site and believed
(Bams commander)
to be in direct comm. with them. During this time, M informed
X at Santiago de Chile that he was "advancing" with his unit.

Later M indicated that three persons may have died during his "advance"
and that 15 others were wounded. Subsequent discussions indicated
mention regiments for surgeons and the dispatch of senior doctors

[Timing?] ^{morning} _{afternoon} M ultimately requested that a report of the whole affair be sent to

Up a later conversation revealed he was to report to the press the following
morning. The US recon mission was shot down the morning after [?] the

incident, known by the Dr. English Party, etc.

— Dr. King of Aviation Yacht, Foundation Fund, 1955 committee, Teller
definite 21 Aug 55

"I have a suspicion that there has been a threat, and
an unspoken one that was mentioned, I received a telephone
statements and that he was "advancing" with his wife, a sister,
MCC TEGI and that 3 persons may have been during his residence, but
it others wanted, would have been given. I do not want
[other results?] I wanted M to report to him in person
"Same money" — "at what time?"

[Extract, or Cond.]

~~I think not a threat, but, no.~~

[This attack was not Clegg's crime, coupled with — though untroubled —
because it was "advancing." Nothing on attack — would be. Clegg, Teller
This attack was by money, but not, I feel able. Committee itself held by
money. What indication with was that at all? That he was not
taken? (DA note) When was incident attack reported to Teller? By report
to Clegg advancing a note with Teller?

Ross

Bob Morrison ORR

Andy Bush SCI (e.g. on "insurgents" attacks on 26 Oct.)

Mr. & Mrs. Betty Burkhardt OSI

15 Jan 63 CIB



29 Nov 63 Castro

NSA ^{same} France Allen B-5334

28 Oct 10 different Fritschts set up on U-2 flight 27 Oct;

only 1 earlier, 17 Oct. This didn't happen later.

Also, multi-channel comm channel; air defense, Russia, on 27 Oct.

14 April 64 On night of 26 Oct 62 on "attack" on an unidentified sov

camp was in progress. The nationality of the attacking forces was not noted. This attack was possibly directed against the

Los Angeles SSN site adjacent to the ^(Bans commander) Bans site and believed

to be in direct comm. with them. During this time, M informed

X at Santiago de Chile that he was "advancing" with his unit.

Later M indicated that three persons may have died during his "advance" and that 15 others were wounded. Subsequent discussions indicated

mentioned requirements for surgeons and the dispatch of seven doctors

[time?] ^{morning} ~~fall~~ M ultimately requested that a report of the whole affair be sent to

^{morning} V If a later conversation revealed he was to report to V in person the following morning. The US recon mission was shot down the morning after [?] the ^{morning}

valent, presumably of the So. Ry. Co. etc.

V. St. Ben of Aviation Station Don Mills, told S.E.C. consider till
September 21 Aug 61

q. "I have a suspicion that there has been a threat" — "and
an engineer was shot and wounded" — "internal" — "which had
resulted, and that's he was 'advising' with his boss, [later,
M.A.C. T.S.E.C. indicated 3 persons] my boss threatening his [unknown] but
I don't know what [unclear] the engineer [unclear] not
[other engineer?] I wanted him to report to him in person
"danger money" — "at what time?"

[Extract on Consol.]

[Extract on Consol.]

(This attack was not from "inside" inside — though units involved —
because it was "advancing" Pathay or Attakha — and he, Gen. Tsoho.
This attack was by money, but not organized. So my note with [unclear] had by
money. What indication with was [unclear] at all? That however was
taken? (M.A.C. note) When was Pathay reported to Tsoho? My report
on Tsoho demanding a meeting with Tsoho?

1967+ SU Postures

a) Deterrence + minimum pre-emption capability (including current estimate for 1962-67). 3 types.

b) Deterrence + war-fighting capability; credibility based on part, imitation of US. [c) implies SU with 500+ miles less than US]

c) Deterrence based on highly protected system, defenses + hardening + big warheads; deters by capability to inflict damage.

Assume: 1) deterrence primary 2) some ability to blunt, secondary; 3) important capability vs. Europe; 4) good first strike on 2 billion tons.

30

67: 650 MRBM/IRBM

05: 620 S-52, 585 B-47.

63: 22~ warheads
9441 MT

64: 26~ warheads
542~ MT

800 MM

503 infrared MM (AF: 800 MM)

7150 infrared MM

No Skybolt. No RS-70. No Regulus.

By pieces; once for SAC (5 NCAP?)

to Polaris (up to 656 missiles)

I have come to conclusion USAF wants
a first-strike capability. Quote: "by
capability to make first strike credible,
in light of the circumstances &
alternatives." Unfeasible; SC may
retireability (sub) for 50 million

41

16

246

91

676

fatalities. "I do not call 50m fatal,
acceptable." [Reaction of Sweden]

[McN takes note of that now, if he
comes at Ann Arbor/Berlin Street.

Does he want to say: No longer plan to
use an umbrella over Europe in 1967?]

"My system provides more incentives
for SO to avoid attack on US or
allies." [Should say: USAF system
is not 12-billion-worth better than

McN's, as a "first-strike system."]

[Either explain that McN means to
shift current algorithms, in 65; or,
start up an adjunct.]

1967-68 handwring. A-MRB7 now;

A1COM in 65? 100 SCB7 now.

1967+ SU Postures

a) Deterrent + minimum pre-emption capability (including current estimates for 1962-67). 3 types.

b) Deterrent + war-fighting capability; capability based on parity, imitation of US. [e) includes SU with 500+ missiles less than US]

c) Deterrent based on highly protected system, defenses + hardened + big warhead; deters by capability & inflict damage.

Assume 1) deterrent primary 2) some ability to blunt, secondary; 3) important capability vs. Europe; 4) good first-strike capability, 2nd strike.

30

67: 650 MRBM, 1 IRBM

05: 620 B-52, 585 B-47.

63: 2200 warheads } about
4441 MT

64: 2000 warheads
5400 MT

300 NM

500 infrared MM (AF: 500 MM)

1150 infrared MM

No Skyballs. No R-570. No Regulus.

20 pieces; 00CC for SAC (5 NASCP?)

5 Polaris (up to 41: 656 minutes)

I have come to conclusion USAF wants

a first-strike capability. Quote: "by
ability to make first strike credible,

in light of the circumstances

alternatives." Unfeasible; SC may
retire capability (also) for 50 million

41

16

246

41

676

U

fatalities. "I do not call 50m fatalities
acceptable." [No mention of modern]

[McN takes note of that now, if he
concerns Ann Arbor/Berlin threat.

Does he want to say: No longer plan to
use an umbrella over Europe in 1967?]

"My system provides more incentives
for SO to avoid attack on US or
allies." [I should say: USAF system
is not 12-billion-dollar better than
McN's, as a "first-strike system."]

[Either explain that McN means to
shift current objectives, in 65; or,
start up on subject.]

18C180 handing. A-MRB1 now;
A1C09 in 65? 100 3C34% now.